Mycophenolate Mofetil in the Treatment of Systemic Lupus Erythematosus
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Abstract

Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) is an immunosuppressive agent that has been shown to be effective in transplant patients. It is also efficacious in the management of lupus nephritis and useful in the treatment of autoimmune conditions because its mechanisms of action target T- and B-lymphocytes, leading to suppression of the cell-mediated immune response and antibody formation. MMF has been used successfully to treat immune-mediated conditions like myasthenia gravis, autoimmune hepatitis and immune cytopenias. However, the conditions for its optimal use for non-renal manifestations (e.g., hematological, neuropsychiatric, myocardial, pulmonary or cutaneous symptoms) in lupus patients are unclear. There have yet to be any randomized, controlled trials to guide the optimal dose and duration of MMF treatment in such situations. MMF is well tolerated and safe to use, although there are reports of serious adverse effects including urticaria, myopathy, Epstein-Barr virus-associated B-cell lymphoma, cytomegalovirus infection and disseminated varicella zoster infection. Immunosuppressive treatment with MMF and supportive care over the past few decades have led to improved clinical outcomes in patients with severe lupus nephritis. A favorable long-term prognosis can be ensured provided that effective treatment is instituted early, before irreversible renal parenchymal damage occurs. Another area of concern for patients is the increased cost of long-term MMF use.
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Özet

Mikofenolat mofetil (MMF) transplant hastalarında etkinliği gösterilmiş bir immünsüpresif ajandır. Lupus nefriti ve diğer otoimmün hastıkların tedavisinde; T ve B lenfositleri hedef alan etki mekanizmasına bağlı olarak, hücresel immün yanıtı ve antikor oluşumunu baskılayarak, etkiliği göstermiştir. Myastenia gravis, otoimmün hepatit, immün sitopeniler gibi otoimmün hastalıklarla bağımlı kullanılmaktadır. Fakat, lupus hastalarında böbrek dışı tutulumlarda (hematolojik, nöropsikiyatrik, miyokardiyal, pulmoner, kütanöz vb.) optimal kullanımı kesinlik kazanmamıştır. Bu durumlarda kullanılan optimal doz ve süreye dair yol gösterici randomize kontrolü çalışma henüz bulunmamaktadır. MMF iyi tolere edilme ve kullanımı güvenli olmasına rağmen; ürtiker, miyopati, Epstein-Barr virüsü ilişkilili B-hücreli lenfoma, sitomegalovirus enfeksiyonları ve dissemine varisella zoster gibi bir takım yan etkileri bildirilmştir. Ciddi lupus nefritli hastalarda MMF ile immünsüpresif tedavi ve destek bakım sayesinde son yıllarda klinik sonuçlarla iyileşme sağlanmıştır. İrreversibl renal parankimal hasar oluşmadan önce etkin tedavinin başlanması halinde uzun dönem prognoz daha iyi olabilir. MMF’in uzun süreli kullanımında hastalar için önemli olan bir diğer noktası artan maliyetidir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Sistemik lupus eritematozus, Mikofenolat mofetil, Tedavi.
Introduction

Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), a mycophenolic acid (MPA) prodrug, depletes guanosine nucleotides through the inhibition of inosine-5′-monophosphate dehydrogenase (IMPDH), acting preferentially on T- and B-lymphocytes [1]. IMPDH is the rate-limiting enzyme in the de novo synthesis of guanosine nucleotides, and T- and B-lymphocytes depend on this pathway more than other cell types. MPA is also a more potent inhibitor of the type II isoform of IMPDH, which is expressed in activated lymphocytes, than of the type I isoform of IMPDH, which is expressed in most other cell types [2]. Therefore, MPA exerts a more potent cytostatic effect on lymphocytes than on other cell types. This is the main mechanism by which MPA suppresses the cell-mediated immune response and antibody formation. Additionally, MPA also inhibits the glycosylation and expression of adhesion molecules and hinders the recruitment of lymphocytes and monocytes into sites of inflammation [3]. The production of nitric oxide (NO) by inducible NO synthase (iNOS) is also decreased, without affecting the activity of constitutive NO synthases. This effect is mediated by MPA through the depletion of tetrahydrobiopterin, a cofactor of the inducible form of iNOS. Through these mechanisms, MMF exerts anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive activities.

In contrast to calcineurin inhibitors, MMF is not nephrotoxic. It does not induce the production of growth factor (TGF)-α, a cytokine that is fibrogenic. Additionally, MPA has no adverse effects on blood pressure, cholesterol levels or triglyceride levels in recipients. It was also noted that MPA is not mutagenic and inhibits the proliferation of human B-lymphocytes that are transformed by Epstein-Barr virus. MPA also suppresses the proliferation of human arterial smooth muscle cells. These two properties of MPA may decrease the risk of lymphoma development and proliferative arteriopathy in recipients of MMF. Analyses of clinical trials show that MMF reduces the incidence of early and late rejection, is protective against long-term deterioration of renal function, and reduces late renal allograft loss independently of acute rejection and without increasing the risk for malignancies [4]. Apart from renal transplants, MMF has also been found to be useful in the management of pancreatic, hepatic and cardiac transplants [5-9].

MMF is a suppressor of both T- and B-cell lymphocyte proliferation and has been used successfully for the prevention of acute and chronic rejection of renal allografts [10-13]. MMF has a selective antiproliferative effect on lymphocytes and inhibits antibody production by B-lymphocytes. MMF also induces deoxyguanosine nucleotide depletion and inhibits the transfer of fucose and mannose to glycoproteins including glycoprotein adhesion molecules. In view of the functions of adhesion molecules (facilitating the attachment of leukocytes to endothelial cells, playing a role in the initial interaction between leukocytes and endothelial cells, and involvement in the interactions between antigen-presenting cells and lymphocytes as well as effector lymphocytes and target cells), MMF should reduce the inflammatory process in its early stages.

At higher concentrations, which may be reached in the clinical setting, MMF has effects on cells that are unrelated to the immune system. It has an antiproliferative effect on vascular smooth muscle cells, even when pro-proliferative stimuli (e.g., angiotensin II and TGF-β) are present. This effect is not shared by other immunosuppressive drugs such as cyclosporine or tacrolimus. This antiproliferative effect on vascular smooth muscle cells may be of relevance concerning the effect of MMF on chronic allograft dysfunction. Because some glomerulopathies are associated with vascular lesions and microthrombus formation, which resemble vascular rejection, MMF might be of use in advanced stages of chronic glomerulopathies. Other documented chronic activities of MMF include reduction of glomerular hypertrophy and hyperfiltration, reduction of myofibroblast formation and collagen III deposition and reduction of tubular cell proliferation and interstitial fibrosis [10-14].

T- and B-lymphocytes are involved in the pathogenesis of
autoimmune conditions. Hence, interference with their function or proliferation will be beneficial for the management of these conditions. Suppression of the cell-mediated immune response and antibody production are key elements in the successful treatment of many immune-mediated conditions. Therefore, MMF has a role to play in the management of such conditions. MMF has been a useful drug in the treatment of recurrent glomerulonephritis in allografts and all forms of primary glomerulonephritides, especially nephrotic syndrome, lupus nephritis and vasculitis.

Zandman-Goddard and Shoenfeld reviewed the evidence for the contribution of MMF in autoimmunity in animal models of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), mercury-induced autoimmune glomerulonephritis, diabetes mellitus, experimental autoimmune uveoretinitis and experimental allergic encephalitis [15]. Clinically, MMF has been used as a monotherapy or adjunct therapy for myasthenia gravis [16-17], chronic immune demyelinating polyneuropathy [18], chronic autoimmune hepatitis [19-20], immune cytopenia [21-23], autoimmune inflammatory myopathy [24], psoriatic arthritis [25], non-lupus glomerulopathies (IgA nephropathy, membranous nephropathy, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis or hepatitis C-associated glomerulonephritis) [26], autoimmune HCV-associated hematological disorders [27], systemic vasculitis [28] and inflammatory skin diseases (pemphigus vulgaris, pemphigus foliaceus and bullous pemphigoid) [29].

**Treatment**

---

**Treatment of Non-renal Manifestations of SLE:**  
A. Hematological Manifestations

Hematological manifestations are common among lupus patients [30-32], and immune leukopenia, thrombocytopenia and hemolytic anemia are commonly encountered in the clinic. Most of these lupus-related cytopenias respond well to higher doses of corticosteroids or immunosuppressive drugs. Patients with refractory immune cytopenias are uncommon, but very high doses of corticosteroids and/or immunosuppressive agents are often necessary to control the disease. Therapy-related complications (for example, avascular necrosis associated with long-term high-dose corticosteroids or recurrent infections associated with high-dose cytotoxic drugs) have become significant issues that need to be addressed. In 2003, Vasoo et al. reported the successful use of MMF in the treatment of a lupus patient with refractory thrombocytopenia [33]. Prior treatment with high-dose corticosteroids, pulse methylprednisolone and intravenous immunoglobulin therapy had failed. The patient’s platelet counts returned to normal when MMF was instituted into the drug regimen. More recently, Chang [34] described another successful outcome with lupus-related refractory thrombocytopenia. Alba [35] and Mak et al. [36] have reported the use of MMF to treat lupus patients with hemolytic anemia refractory to conventional treatment and observed good responses. In the rare occurrence of pure red-cell aplasia in a lupus patient, a successful outcome was obtained with the administration of cyclosporine and MMF [37]. These cases represent a small series of successfully treated patients, but their promising outcomes give us an insight into the usefulness of MMF as a second- or third-line therapy for lupus patients with refractory immune cytopenias.

B. Neuropsychiatric Manifestations

Neuropsychiatric abnormalities, ranging from psychosis to cognitive deficits, occur frequently in lupus patients with active disease. Frequently, the severity of the neurological involvement requires chronic administration of high doses of corticosteroids and/or cytotoxic drugs, with their attendant complications. However, there are no randomized controlled trials assessing the efficacy of MMF in such lupus patients reported to date. Most of the relevant literature consists of anecdotal reports. Jose and co-workers successfully treated and maintained a lupus patient with psychotonic manifestations with MMF [38]. Another reported success involved a case of cerebral vasculitis in a patient with hereditary complete C4 deficiency and SLE. She was treated with a combination of immunoadsorption and MMF [39]. Additionally, Mok et al. have reported their preliminary experience with MMF in the treatment of a patient with a lupus-related myelopathy in the spinal cord [40].

C. Myocardial and Pulmonary Manifestations

Pericarditis and serositis (e.g., pleurisy) occur in lupus patients and are usually treated adequately with corticosteroids. However, more serious involvements, such as myocarditis with pulmonary hemorrhage, are uncommon but can be fatal. Treatment of severe lupus-related pulmonary hemorrhage is often difficult. Samad reported on the use of MMF to treat a patient with childhood SLE with recurrent pulmonary hemorrhage [41]. Regarding another pulmonary disorder, Swigris and co-workers performed a retrospective survey of 28 patients with connective tissue-related interstitial lung disease, one of whom had SLE, and found that MMF preserves lung function and is safe and well tolerated [42]. In animal studies, MMF has been shown to prevent the development of experimental autoimmune myocarditis [43]. Hence, MMF may be useful in the management of lupus-related myocarditis. In a review of 12 lupus-related hemophagocytic syndrome patients with high prevalence of pericarditis and/or myocarditis, MMF was successfully used as an adjunct in the long-term treatment regimens for two of the patients [44].

D. Cutaneous Manifestations

Cutaneous lesions are common manifestations in lupus patients, and discoid rashes often lead to scarring of the involved skin. In 2001, Goyal reported the successful treatment of recalcitrant palmpoplantar lesions in two lupus patients [45], and a year later, Svanberg described the resolution of extensive and refractory subacute cutaneous lupus erythematosus with MMF in another two patients. These patients had unfortunately developed severe complications while on high-dose corticosteroid therapy [46].
Hanjani and Nousari expanded the use of MMF for the treatment of four lupus patients with cutaneous lupus (lupus tumidus, lupus pananniculitis, discoid LE with perniosis and subacute LE) [47]. These reports collectively testify to the promise that MMF holds for the treatment of lupus-related cutaneous manifestations. However, Pisoni recently reported poor results from the use of MMF to treat refractory cutaneous lesions. Of the seven patients with SLE and refractory skin involvement (including acute cutaneous lupus, subacute cutaneous lupus, discoid lupus erythematosus, vasculitis, urticarial rash and chilblain lupus), five did not respond, one demonstrated only a partial response and one showed an initial response, with subsequent relapse while still on MMF [48]. Hence, the usefulness of MMF in the management of lupus with refractory cutaneous involvement is yet to be fully understood.

E. Treatment Strategies

MMF seems to have promise as a second- or third-line agent for the treatment of refractory non-renal lupus manifestations. It is relatively well tolerated [49-51], safe to use and shows no nephrotoxicity or adverse effects on blood pressure, cholesterol levels or triglyceride levels. It is not associated with significant risk of ovarian toxicity. Despite its clinical safety and relatively mild, common side-effects, such as nausea, vomiting and diarrhea, there are other concerns associated with MMF use. Urticaria [52], myopathy [53], Epstein-Barr virus-associated B-cell lymphoma [54], cytomegalovirus (CMV) infections [55,56] and disseminated varicella zoster infections [57,58] have been reported.

Anecdotal reports of the successful treatment of refractory non-renal lupus manifestations cannot allow us to definitively determine the optimal dose or duration of treatment. Hence, the lack of randomized, double-blind controlled trials presents a drawback in the effort to optimize the use of MMF in such situations. In the renal setting, the Tricontinental Mycophenolate Mofetil Renal Transplantation Study Group [59] determined that a dosage of 2-3 g of MMF daily is efficacious and that the lower, 2-g daily dose regimen is associated with fewer side effects and CMV infections. Another drawback to the wide use of MMF is the high cost of the drug. Its cost can sometimes be prohibitive, especially to those not covered by comprehensive medical insurance and those residing in developing countries.

Lupus Nephritis Treatment

The management of severe proliferative lupus nephritis can be divided into an initial induction phase followed by a prolonged maintenance phase (Figure 1). Immunosuppressive treatment during the two phases has the respective aims of achieving remission and preventing relapse. Immunosuppressive medications, tailored according to disease activity, remain the mainstay of treatment for severe lupus nephritis. Commonly adopted treatment regimens include combinations of corticosteroids and anti-proliferative agents, such as cyclophosphamide, azathioprine or MMF.

The role of MMF in improving long-term outcomes of lupus nephritis patients remains unknown. An ongoing, large-scale multicenter randomized controlled trial will determine the effectiveness of MMF compared to intravenous cyclophosphamide during the induction stage and MMF compared to azathioprine during the maintenance phase. The optimal treatment regimen for lupus nephritis varies according to several factors: class, activity and chronicity indices and other prognostic factors (Tables 1, 2).

MMF has been widely used to prevent renal allograft rejection. Many case series and small controlled trials have suggested the effectiveness of MMF in the treatment of lupus nephritis. In early trials, cyclophosphamide (CYC) in combination with glucocorticoids (GC) led to improved renal survival compared with GC therapy alone and achieved lower rates of recurrence. Intravenous CYC became preferred over oral CYC due to perceived lower levels of toxicity (i.e., increased risk of infection, ovarian failure, reversible alopecia and bladder toxicity, particularly with the use of pre-treatment hydration).

Subsequent studies have shown that a longer duration of therapy during the maintenance phase improved remission rates [60]. A recent randomized, open-label, non-inferiority trial supports the notion that MMF is as effective as intravenous CYC in inducing short-term remission of lupus nephritis with a better safety profile [61]. Regarding the management of lupus nephritis, the role of MMF and its safety profile and cost-effectiveness have been analyzed in several clinical trials by different groups [62-75].

Conclusion

The issues of optimal dose, duration of treatment and cost-effectiveness of MMF can only be adequately addressed with randomized, controlled trials. Advances in immunosuppression and supportive care over the past few decades have led to improved clinical outcomes in patients with severe lupus nephritis, with increased efficacy and fewer complications. However, based on anecdotal reports, MMF is a useful addition to the armamentarium available for treatment of lupus patients with refractory non-renal manifestations. Another drawback to the wide use of MMF is the high cost of the drug. Its cost can sometimes be prohibitive, especially to those not covered by comprehensive medical insurance and those residing in developing countries.
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